

Chemical Engineering Science 60 (2005) 103-109

Chemical Engineering Science

www.elsevier.com/locate/ces

# Indoor air purification by photocatalyst TiO<sub>2</sub> immobilized on an activated carbon filter installed in an air cleaner

C.H. Ao, S.C. Lee\*

Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, Research Center for Urban Environmental Technology and Management, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

> Received 29 July 2003; received in revised form 10 November 2003; accepted 28 January 2004 Available online 15 September 2004

#### Abstract

The enhancement effect of using TiO<sub>2</sub> immobilized on activated carbon (TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC) filter for removing indoor air pollutant at parts-perbillion (ppb) levels has been previously reported. To further evaluate the TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter for practical application, it was installed in an air cleaner available in the commercial market and tested inside an environmental chamber. Nitrogen oxide (NO) and toluene were selected as target pollutant. Results showed that a higher removal efficiency of NO was achieved using shorter wavelength ultraviolet lamp than longer wavelength ultraviolet lamp. A higher NO removal was achieved using TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter compared to TiO<sub>2</sub> filter only. The intermediate, NO<sub>2</sub>, generated from the photodegradation of NO was also successfully suppressed from exiting the system using TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter. A 25% higher of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) was achieved using TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter compared to the TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC only. The higher removal efficiency of using TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC is owing to the large adsorption capacity provided by the activated carbon. The adsorbed NO is then transferred to the TiO<sub>2</sub> for photodegradation. The difference in toluene removal efficiency using TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter compared to the TiO<sub>2</sub> filter is even more significant. © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Photochemistry; Environment; Adsorption; Indoor air pollution remediation; Photocatalysis; Nitrogen oxides

# 1. Introduction

Indoor air quality has received immense attention in the early 1990s. This is because studies (Jones, 1999; Wallace, 1991; Niemala and Vaino, 1985) showed that the level of pollutants in indoor environment is actually higher than in outdoor environment. In addition, people generally spend more than 80% of their time in indoors, which contributes a higher risk from inhalation of pollutants than outdoors (Robinson and Nelson, 1995). In 1995, USEPA identified indoor air pollution is one of the top environmental risk (USEPA, 1995).

In general, three methods are suggested to improve indoor air quality, namely source control, increase ventilation and air cleaning. Source control is often ungovernable and unavoidable in metropolis such as Hong Kong. For instance, vehicular exhaust from nearby traffic (Li et al., 2001), building materials (Hines et al., 1993) and the use of cooking utensils (Lee et al., 2001, 2002) were inevitable sources of indoor air pollutants. Increase ventilation might even transport more pollutants from outdoor environment (Jones, 1999). Thus, air cleaning remains to be the most feasible option to improve indoor air quality.

Recently, photocatalytic oxidation has shown to be a promising and effective technology for pollution control (Yu et al., 2002; Fujishima et al., 2000; Obuchi et al., 1999; Peral et al., 1997; Hoffmann et al., 1995). Unlike traditional pollution control method such as adsorption which merely transfers pollutant from gaseous phase to solid phase, PCO actually oxidizes pollutants to  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$ . However, studies (Luo and Ollis, 1996; Kim and Hong, 2002) showed that the rate of PCO decreased with decreasing pollutant concentration. In addition, at high humidity levels, water vapor competed with TiO<sub>2</sub> for adsorption sites which further decreased the rate of PCO (Ao et al., 2003a,b). Thus,

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +852-2766-6011; fax: +852-2334-6389. *E-mail address:* ceslee@polyu.edu.hk (S.C. Lee).

in indoor environment where the pollutant concentration is only parts-per-billion (ppb) levels and under high humidity levels, the rate of PCO is rather low.

To improve this situation, Shiraishi et al. (2003) used an adsorbent to adsorb the pollutants to increase the pollutant concentration from the diluted air stream. The pollutant concentrated on the adsorbent was then desorbed upon heating, followed by TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalytic oxidation. The HCHO removal efficiency was three times higher using the combination of adsorption/desorption and PCO compared to PCO only. Our group has also developed an activated carbon filter immobilized with TiO<sub>2</sub> (TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC) to overcome the competition effect between the water vapor and the pollutant at ppb level for indoor air purification (Ao and Lee, 2003). The removal efficiency of TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC was seven times higher than TiO<sub>2</sub> only under high humidity levels for multiple indoor air pollutants removal (Ao and Lee, 2004).

The aim of this study is to further investigate the feasibility of  $TiO_2/AC$  for practical indoor air purification. The  $TiO_2/AC$  filter was installed in an air cleaner available in the commercial market. The air cleaner was then evaluated inside an environmental chamber. Nitrogen oxide (NO) and toluene were selected as target pollutant as these pollutants are commonly found in indoor air. Sensitive analyses were conducted using different UV lamps and filter combinations. To the best of our knowledge, no detailed investigation has been reported on the evaluation of  $TiO_2$  immobilized on an activated carbon filter installed in an air cleaner.

# 2. Experimental

## 2.1. Reagents and catalyst preparation

NO (BOC gas) was used as reactant gas and acquired from compressed gas cylinder at a concentration of 50 ppm  $\pm 2\%$ with nitrogen as balanced gas with traceable National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standard. Toluene (Riedel-de Haen, reagent grade, 99.7%) was acquired from liquid phase.

TiO<sub>2</sub> (Degussa P-25) was used as a photocatalyst without any pretreatment. Activated carbon filter was purchased from a local company. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface was  $1115 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ . Using the desorption branch of the isotherm and the Barrett-Joyner-Helenda (BJH) formula, the average pore size was estimated to be 3.4 nm. The preparation of the  $TiO_2$  filter and  $TiO_2/AC$  filter was described in elsewhere (Ao and Lee, 2003). Water suspension with 5% of TiO<sub>2</sub> was coated on a glass fiber filter (Whatman) as a supporting substrate (TiO<sub>2</sub> filter). It was then calcinated at 120 °C for 1 h with a temperature gradient of 5.5 °C/min. The same procedure was followed for TiO<sub>2</sub> loaded on activated carbon filter (TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC), except an activated carbon filter (23.085 g  $\pm$  0.5%) was used instead of a glass fiber filter. The surface area of the glass fiber filter was identical to the activated carbon, which was 300 cm<sup>2</sup> used in this study.



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the air cleaner.

The amount of TiO<sub>2</sub> imposed was determined by the weight difference before and after the coating procedure. In all experiments, the weight of TiO<sub>2</sub> imposed is  $1.17 \text{ g} \pm 5\%$ .

# 2.2. Environmental chamber

An electropolished stainless steel environmental chamber with a volume of 2.38 m<sup>3</sup> was used in this study (Lee et al., 2003; Kwok et al., 2003, Lam et al., 2001). The temperature and relative humidity in all studies were  $24 \pm 1$  °C and 70%  $\pm$  5%, respectively. Four mixing fans were installed at the bottom corners of the chamber to ensure adequate mixing of air. The temperature and relative humidity were continuously monitored by portable Q-Trak monitor (Model 8550, TSI, MN, USA). After each test, the chamber was cleaned by scrubbing the inner surfaces with deionized and distilled water. The chamber was then conditioned by purging zero air (Thermo Environmental Inc. Model 111) and conditioned to 25 °C and 70% relative humidity for at least 24 h prior to testing. The chamber background level was measured before each experiment.

# 2.3. Air cleaner

As shown in Fig. 1, the air cleaner is equipped with a high-efficiency particulate air filter (HEPA) and an activated carbon filter (AC). Illumination was provided by a 6W ultra violet germicidal lamp (Sankyo Denki, Japan). The wavelength of the germicidal lamp ranged from 200 to 300 nm with the maximum light intensity at 254 nm (denoted as UVC). The ultra violet germicidal lamp can be interchanged by a 6W black lamp (Sankyo Denki, Japan), depending on the experimental settings. The wavelength of the black lamp ranged from 300 to 400 nm with a maximum light intensity at 365 nm (denoted as UVA). A centrifugal fan is used to draw air at the front of the air cleaner and exhausted on the top, as indicated by the airflow directions in Fig. 1. The flowrate used in all study was  $5.1 \text{ m}^3/\text{ min}$ . The TiO<sub>2</sub> filter or the TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter was mounted 1 cm on top of the UV lamp. The UV intensity measured (UVP radiometer, model

UVX) at the surface of the TiO<sub>2</sub> filter was  $1.49 \text{ mW/cm}^2$  at 365 nm and  $2.76 \text{ mW/cm}^2$  at 254 nm using the UVA lamp and UVC lamp, respectively.

### 2.4. Sampling and analysis

The air cleaner was placed inside the environmental chamber under static condition. NO and toluene were injected inside the chamber via a stainless sampling port. Mixing was allowed for 30 min and sample of the initial concentrations were collected via the sampling port with Teflon tubing at the center of the environmental chamber at 0.6 m above floor into a Teldar sampling bag (SKC). The concentration of NO was measured by a Chemiluminescence NO analyzer (Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc. Model 42c), which monitors NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>x</sub> at a sampling rate of 0.7 l/min. The concentration of toluene was measured by a non-methane hydrocarbon (NMHC) analyzer equipped with a FID detector (Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc. Model 55) at a sampling rate of 1 l/min. The air cleaner was turned on from an external circuit equipped outside the environmental chamber. Samples were then taken at desired time intervals.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Blank test

Prior to the evaluation of the air cleaner, a blank test was conducted for NO and toluene. An initial 200 ppb NO concentration was achieved by controlling the amount of 50 ppm NO injected from the standard gas. Thirty minutes was allowed for mixing and the initial concentration was collected and analyzed. In all experiments, the initial NO and toluene concentration was controlled to be  $200 \pm 5$  ppb and  $2.15 \pm 0.05$  ppm, respectively. At time intervals 30, 60 and 120 min, samples were collected. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the initial NO concentration was similar to the NO concentration at 120 min. Similar result was obtained for toluene, as shown in Fig. 2(b). Thus, the environmental chamber was suitable for the testing of air cleaner without pollutant removal due to chamber leakage. Photolysis study was also conducted for UVA and UVC lamp. No removal of NO was observed using UVA lamp, whereas 20 ppb of NO removal was observed using UVC lamp.

Apart from the experiments with the indication of AC+HEPA setting, all the experiments were conducted without the original AC filter from the air cleaner. The HEPA filter was remained to maintain a constant flow for all experiments.

# 3.2. NO removal of the air cleaner

The original setting (AC+HEPA) of the air cleaner was evaluated to identify the removal of NO. This evaluation Fig. 2. Leakage test of the environmental chamber using (a) NO; (b) toluene.



Fig. 3. NO removal using the air cleaner settings.

is vital as to truly investigate the removal efficiency of TiO<sub>2</sub> filter and TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter mounted on the air cleaner despite the removal efficiency of the AC+HEPA. Fig. 3 shows three settings of the air cleaner namely AC+HEPA, AC+HEPA+UVA and AC+HEPA+UVC. The NO concentration decreased from 200 to 175 ppb at 60 min using AC+HEPA. However, the NO concentration increased back

at 254 nm using the UVA lamp y. d inside the environmental cham-NO and toluene were injected stainless sampling port. Mixing



220



Fig. 4. NO removal using TiO<sub>2</sub> filter with UVA lamp and UVC lamp.

to around the initial concentration at 120 min. Presumably, the initial decrease of the NO concentration is due to the NO adsorption capacity of the activated carbon filter (Teng and Suuberg, 1993). The increase in NO concentration is probably due to the release of NO from the AC filter. Desorption of pollutant was also reported from AC filter (Schleibinger and Ruden, 1999). Similar result was observed for AC+HEPA+UVA setting. The NO concentration decreased at 60 min but increased to the initial concentration at 120 min. The use of UVA lamp was not able to remove NO, as reported in other studies (Devahasdin et al., 2003). Using UVC lamp instead of UVA lamp, however, a decrease of 25 ppb NO was observed at 120 min. Since the wavelength of the UVC lamp ranged from 200 to 300 nm, it included the commencement (Flory and Johnston, 1935) of the NO adsorption band and thus a reduction in NO concentration was observed.

# 3.3. NO removal of the air cleaner with $TiO_2$ filter using UVA and UVC lamp

Fig. 4 shows the photodegradation of NO using UVA lamp and UVC lamp. The time profile of NO photodegradation is identical using UVA lamp and UVC lamp. However, a higher NO removal was observed for UVC lamp. The NO concentration at 120 min using UVA lamp is 34.6 ppb, which is 12.6 ppb higher than using UVC lamp. This is probably due to a higher UV intensity was applied using UVC lamp. The measured UV intensity of UVC lamp  $(2.76 \,\mathrm{mW/cm^2})$  was nearly double than that using UVA  $lamp (1.49 \text{ mW/cm}^2)$ . Thus, at a higher UV intensity, more hydroxyl radicals were generated (Li et al., 1998; Kim and Hong, 2002) to react with NO and a higher NO removal was achieved. In addition, study (Matthews and McEvoy, 1992) also showed that TiO<sub>2</sub> particles adsorbed light more strongly for shorter wavelength than longer wavelength and reduced time participating in energy wasting recombination reactions.



Fig. 5. NO removal using  $TiO_2$  filter and  $TiO_2/AC$  filter.

# 3.4. NO removal of the air cleaner with $TiO_2$ filter and $TiO_2/AC$ filter

Fig. 5 shows the photodegradation of NO using TiO<sub>2</sub> filter and TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter. The NO concentration at 120 min was 34.6 and 6.3 ppb using TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC, respectively. Also shown in the same figure, the NO concentration reached a steady state at 60 min whereas the NO concentration using TiO<sub>2</sub> filter was still decreasing. Under such a small residence time and low NO concentration, the probability of NO contact with the hydroxyl radicals is rather low (Shiraishi et al., 2003). The use of activated carbon is to increase the adsorption of NO. The adsorbed NO is then transferred to TiO<sub>2</sub> for photodegradation. Study using TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC in the photoreactor also showed that the effect of using activated carbon filter as supporting substrate is more significant at a lower residence time. At a high residence time, the pollutant diffusion rate from the gaseous phase to  $TiO_2$  is similar to the pollutant diffusion rate from the activated carbon filter to TiO<sub>2</sub> (Ao and Lee, 2003). However, when such a high residence time is not applicable such as the air cleaner, the enhancement effect of using the activated carbon as the supporting substrate for  $TiO_2$  is more significant than in the photoreactor.

Another possible reason for the higher NO removal using  $TiO_2/AC$  is the high relative humidity level used in this study. Water vapor competed with NO for adsorption sites on  $TiO_2$  which reduced the NO removal rate (Ao et al., 2003a). Studies also showed that the oxidation rate decreased with increasing humidity levels for toluene (Cao et al., 1999) acetone (Kim and Hong, 2002), and 1-Butene (Cao et al., 2000). The above authors elucidated that water molecules competed with pollutants for adsorption sites on TiO<sub>2</sub> surfaces. Study also showed that the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface is full of hydroxyl groups which adsorbed water via hydrogen bond. Benzene and toluene, for instance, adsorbed stronger on dehydroxylated surface than hydroxyl surface (Nagao and Suda, 1989). Although at high humidity levels, water competed with NO for adsorption sites on TiO2 and activated carbon, the large adsorption capacity of the activated carbon still able to



Fig. 6. Intermediate generated from the photodegradation of NO under different experimental conditions.

adsorb NO (Ao and Lee, 2004) because its surface area is 24 times larger than TiO<sub>2</sub>. The adsorbed NO was then diffused to the TiO<sub>2</sub> for photodegradation. Using TiO<sub>2</sub> only for indoor air purification at ppb level pollutant concentration, NO competed with water for adsorption sites and the probability of contacting the hydroxyl radials is low. Thus, the application of TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter showed a promising and efficient method for indoor air purification.

# 3.5. Intermediate generated from the photodegradation of NO

Studies (Hashimoto et al., 2001; Nakamura et al., 2000; Dalton et al., 2002) showed that  $NO_2$  is the intermediate generated from the photodegradation of NO, as shown in Eqs. (1) and (2):

$$NO + HO_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + OH.$$
 (1)

$$NO_2 + OH \rightarrow HNO_3.$$
 (2)

In this study, NO<sub>2</sub> was also observed as the intermediate from the photodegradation of NO. As shown in Fig. 6, around 6 ppb of NO2 was observed as the background concentration inside the environmental chamber. The NO<sub>2</sub> concentration decreased from 7.9 to 3.6 ppb at 120 min for the AC+HEPA setting. The decrease in  $NO_2$  concentration is probably due to the adsorption of NO2 on the AC filter (Rubel and Stencel, 1996). However, using TiO<sub>2</sub> filter with UVA lamp, the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased from 7 to 26 ppb. Using TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter, the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased slightly from 7.1 to 9.2 ppb at 30 min. The NO<sub>2</sub> concentration then decreased to 3.2 ppb at 120 min. The large discrepancy of NO<sub>2</sub> concentration generated is due to the difference in using  $TiO_2$  and  $TiO_2/AC$ . According to Eq. (2), NO2 generated from the photodegradation of NO would further photo-oxidized to HNO<sub>3</sub>. The residence time of the air cleaner provided was too low and NO2 exited the air cleaner without further photodegradation. Using TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC,



Fig. 7. Toluene removal by the air cleaner with AC+HEPA, TiO<sub>2</sub> filter and TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter.

however, the activated carbon provided another adsorption site for NO<sub>2</sub>. Similar to NO, NO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on AC is then diffused to TiO<sub>2</sub> and photo-oxidized to HNO<sub>3</sub>. Study (Torimoto et al., 1996) also showed that the combination of TiO<sub>2</sub> with adsorbent not only increased the propyzamide removal rate but also reduced the amount of intermediate exited the system.

To accurately and truly evaluate the removal efficiency of NO using  $TiO_2$  and  $TiO_2/AC$  filter mounted in the air cleaner, the following equation is used:

$$NO_{x} \text{ removal efficiency} = \frac{\text{Initial NO}_{x} \text{ conc.} - \text{final NO}_{x} \text{ conc.}}{\text{Initial NO}_{x} \text{ conc.}} \times 100\%, \quad (3)$$

where initial NO<sub>x</sub> concentration is the initial NO and NO<sub>2</sub> concentration inside the environmental chamber and the final NO<sub>x</sub> concentration is the NO and NO<sub>2</sub> concentration inside the environmental chamber at 120 min. The use of NO<sub>x</sub> rather than merely NO for the pollutant removal efficiency evaluation because NO<sub>2</sub> generated from the photodegradation of NO is also a common indoor air pollutant (Jones, 1999). By applying Eq. (3), the NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency using TiO<sub>2</sub> filter and TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter is 70.5% and 95.4%, respectively. Thus, the use of TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC not only increased the NO (target pollutant) removal rate but also reduced the generation of NO<sub>2</sub>(intermediate).

# 3.6. Toluene removal of the air cleaner with $TiO_2$ filter and $TiO_2/AC$ filter

Fig. 7 shows the photodegradation of toluene using the AC+HEPA, TiO<sub>2</sub> filter and TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter with the UVA lamp. The use of AC+HEPA setting of the air cleaner decreased the NMHC concentration from 2.12 to 1.48 ppm. This is probably due to adsorption of toluene on the activated carbon filter, as reported in other studies (Urano et al., 1982; VanOsdell et al., 1996). However, the toluene removal is lower compared to TiO<sub>2</sub>+UVA and TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC+UVA. At a

Table 1 NO, NO<sub>x</sub> and NMHC removal efficiency using TiO<sub>2</sub> filter and TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter

Pollutant	TiO <sub>2</sub> filter removal efficiency (%)	TiO <sub>2</sub> /AC filter removal efficiency (%)
NO (ppb)	83.2	97.0
$NO_x$ (ppb)	70.5	95.4
NMHC (ppm)	50.0	89.5

relative humidity higher than 60%, capillary condensation of water vapor occurred within the pores of activated carbon and making them unavailable for organic vapor adsorption (Cal et al., 1996; Khan and Ghoshal, 2000). Since the experiment was conducted at a relative humidity of 70%, the competition of adsorption sites on activated carbon between water vapor and toluene reduced its removal efficiency.

Similar to the photodegradation of NO, the use of TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC achieved a lower NMHC concentration. The NMHC concentration was 1.06 and 0.23 ppm using the TiO<sub>2</sub> filter and TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter, respectively. The toluene removal efficiency difference between the TiO<sub>2</sub> filter and the TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter was larger than NO removal efficiency. As shown in Table 1, the difference in NMHC removal efficiency is 49.5% whereas only 13.8% in NO removal efficiency is observed. Similar results were reported in elsewhere (Ao and Lee, 2003). The high NO removal efficiency by the TiO<sub>2</sub> filter might hinder the enhancement effect of the TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter. Using a less photoreactive compound such as toluene, the enhancement effect of the TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter is more significant, as shown in this study. However, under the presence of other pollutants, such as hydrocarbons, the removal rate of NO is reduced due to competition of adsorption sites on  $TiO_2$  (Ao and Lee, 2004). Thus, the difference in NO removal efficiency between using TiO<sub>2</sub> filter and TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter will be higher if other pollutants are presence.

### 4. Conclusion

A commercial air cleaner installed with a TiO<sub>2</sub> filter and a TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter was evaluated inside an environmental chamber. Leak test showed that no pollutant removal was due to chamber leakage. The original setting (AC+HEPA) of the air cleaner showed no NO and little toluene removal. The use of TiO<sub>2</sub> filter removed 83.2% of NO but generated 12.9% of NO<sub>2</sub>. Using TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC, the NO removal efficiency increased to 97% and the generation of NO<sub>2</sub> decreased to 1.6%. The use of TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC not only increased the target pollutant removal efficiency but also reduced the amount of intermediate exiting the system. The removal efficiency of the TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter compared to the TiO<sub>2</sub> filter is even higher when the target pollutant is less photoreactive, such as toluene, used in this study. Thus, under a low residence time, low pollutant concentration and high levels of relative humidity, the use

of TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC filter showed a promising and efficient method for indoor air purification. This study also showed that the enhancement effect of the TiO<sub>2</sub>/AC shown in the laboratory scale using the photoreactor is also verified by installing it into an air cleaner available in the commercial market.

### Acknowledgements

This project is funded by the Hong Kong Polytechnic University (GW-047). The authors would like to thank Mr. W.F. Tam for technical support in the laboratory. The authors would also like to thank Mrs. Anson for her help in the preparation of this article. C.H. Ao thanks Dr. Reuben Mondejar and C.J. Lam from Opus Dei for their support.

### References

- Ao, C.H., Lee, S.C., 2003. Enhancement effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> immobilized on activated carbon filter for the photodegradation of pollutants at typical indoor air level. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 44, 191–205.
- Ao, C.H., Lee, S.C., 2004. Combination effect of activated carbon with TiO<sub>2</sub> for the photodegradation of binary pollutants at typical indoor air level. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 161, 131–140.
- Ao, C.H., Lee, S.C., Mak, C.L., Chan, L.Y., 2003a. Photodegradation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and NO for indoor air purification using TiO<sub>2</sub>: promotion versus inhibition effect of NO. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 42, 119–129.
- Ao, C.H., Lee, S.C., Yu, J.C., 2003b. Photocatalyst TiO<sub>2</sub> supported on glass fiber for indoor air purification: effect of NO on the photodegradation of CO and NO<sub>2</sub>. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 156, 171–177.
- Cal, M.P., Rood, M.J., Larson, S.M., 1996. Removal of VOCs from humidified gas streams using activated carbon cloth. Gas Separation and Purification 10, 117–121.
- Cao, L., Huang, A., Spiess, F.J., Suib, S.L., 1999. Gas-phase oxidation of 1-butene using nanoscale  $TiO_2$  photocatalysts. Journal of Catalysis 188, 48–57.
- Cao, L., Gao, Z., Suib, S.L., Obee, T.N., Hay, S.O., Freihauty, J.D., 2000. Photocatalytic oxidation of toluene on nanoscale TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts: studies of deactivation and regeneration. Journal of Catalysis 196, 253–261.
- Dalton, J.S., Janes, P.A., Jones, N.G., Nicholson, J.A., Hallam, K.R., Allen, G.C., 2002. Photocatalytic oxidation of  $NO_x$  gases using TiO<sub>2</sub>: a surface spectroscopic approach. Environmental Pollution 120, 415–422.
- Devahasdin, S., Fan, C., Li, K., Chen, D.H., 2003. TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalytic oxidation of nitric oxide: transient behavior and reaction kinetics. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 156, 161–170.
- Flory, P.J., Johnston, H.L., 1935. The photodecomposition of nitric oxide. Journal of the American Chemical Society 57, 2641–2651.
- Fujishima, A., Rao, T.N., Tryk, D.A., 2000. Titanium dioxide photocatalysis. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology C: Photochemistry Reviews 1, 1–21.
- Hashimoto, K., Wasada, K., Osaki, M., Shono, E., Adachi, K., Toukai, N., Kominami, H., Kera, Y., 2001. Photocatalytic oxidation of nitrogen oxide over titania–zeolite composite catalyst to remove nitrogen oxides in the atmosphere. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 30, 429–436.
- Hines, A.L., Ghosh, T.K., Loyalka, S.K., Warder, R.C. (Eds.), 1993. Indoor Air-Quality and Control. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Hoffmann, M.R., Martin, S.T., Choi, W., Bahnemann, D.W., 1995. Environmental applications of semiconductor photocatalysis. Chemical Reviews 95, 69–96.

- Jones, A.P., 1999. Indoor air quality and health. Atmospheric Environment 33, 4535–4564.
- Khan, F.I., Ghoshal, A.K., 2000. Removal of volatile organic compounds from polluted air. Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries 13, 527–545.
- Kim, S.B., Hong, S.C., 2002. Kinetic study for photocatalytic degradation of volatile organic compounds in air using thin film TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 35, 305–315.
- Kwok, N.H., Lee, S.C., Guo, H., Hung, W.T., 2003. Substrate effects on VOC emissions from an interior finishing varnish. Building and Environment 38, 1019–1026.
- Lam, S., Lee, S.C., Ho, K.F., 2001. Characterization of VOCs, ozone, and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions from office equipment in an environmental chamber. Building and Environment 36, 837–842.
- Lee, S.C., Li, W.M., Chan, L.Y., 2001. Indoor air quality at restaurants with different styles of cooking in metropolitan Hong Kong. The Science of the Total Environment 279, 181–193.
- Lee, S.C., Li, W.M., Ao, C.H., 2002. Investigation of indoor air quality at residential homes in Hong Kong-case study. Atmospheric Environment 36, 225–237.
- Lee, S.C., Kwok, N.H., Guo, H., Hung, W.T., 2003. The effect of wet film thickness on VOC emissions from a finishing varnish. The Science of the Total Environment 302, 75–84.
- Li, K., Liu, S.Y.C., Khetarpal, S., Chen, D.H., 1998. TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalytic oxidation of toluene and PCE vapor in the air. Journal of Advanced Oxidation Technology 3, 311–314.
- Li, W.M., Lee, S.C., Chan, L.Y., 2001. Indoor air quality at nine shopping malls in Hong Kong. The Science of the Total Environment 273, 27–40.
- Luo, Y., Ollis, D.F., 1996. Heterogeneous photocatalytic oxidation of trichloroethylene and toluene mixtures in air: kinetic promotion and inhibition, time-dependent catalyst activity. Journal of Catalysis 163, 1–11.
- Matthews, R.W., McEvoy, S.R., 1992. A comparison of 254 nm and 350 nm excitation of  $TiO_2$  in simple photocatalytic reactors. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 66, 355–366.
- Nagao, M., Suda, Y., 1989. Adsorption of benzene, toluene and chlorobenzene on titanium dioxide. Langmuir 5, 42–47.
- Nakamura, I., Negishi, N., Kutsuna, S., Ihara, T., Sugihara, S., Takeuchi, K., 2000. Role of oxygen vacancy in the plasma-treated TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst with visible light activity for NO removal. Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 161, 205–212.
- Niemala, R., Vaino, H., 1985. Formaldehyde exposure in work and the general environment. Scandinavian Journal of Work and Environmental Health 7, 95–100.

- Obuchi, E., Sakamoto, T., Nakano, K., Shiraishi, F., 1999. Photocatalytic decomposition of acetaldehyde over TiO<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. Chemical Engineering Science 54, 1525–1530.
- Peral, J., Domenech, X., Ollis, D.F., 1997. Heterogeneous photocatalysis for purification, decontamination and deodorization of air. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology 70, 117–140.
- Robinson, J., Nelson, W.C., 1995. National Human Activity Pattern Survey Data Base, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC.
- Rubel, A.M., Stencel, J.M., 1996. Effect of pressure on  $NO_x$  adsorption by activated carbons. Energy and Fuels 10, 704–708.
- Schleibinger, H., Ruden, H., 1999. Air filters from HVAC systems as possible source of volatile organic compounds (VOC)—laboratory and field assays. Atmospheric Environment 33, 4571–4577.
- Shiraishi, F., Yamaguchi, S., Ohbuchi, Y., 2003. A rapid treatment of formaldehyde in a highly tight room using a photocatalytic reactor combined with a continuous adsorption and desorption apparatus. Chemical Engineering Science 58, 929–934.
- Teng, H., Suuberg, E.M., 1993. Chemisorption of nitric-oxide on char.1. Reversible nitric-oxide sorption. Journal of Physical Chemistry 97, 478–493.
- Torimoto, T., Ito, S., Kuwabata, S., Yoneyama, H., 1996. Effects of adsorbents used as supports for titanium dioxide loading on photocatalytic degradation of propyzamide. Environmental Science and Technology 30, 1275–1281.
- Urano, K., Omori, S., Yamamoto, E., 1982. Prediction method for adsorption capacities of commercial activated carbons in removal of organic vapors. Environmental Science and Technology 16, 10–14.
- USEPA, 1995. Characterizing air emissions from indoor sources. EPA Report EPA/600/F-95/005, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washingtion, DC.
- VanOsdell, D.M., Owen, M.K., Jaffe, L.B., Sparks, L.E., 1996. VOC removal at low contaminant concentrations using granular activated carbon. Journal of Air and Waste Management Association 46, 883–890.
- Wallace, L.A., 1991. Personal exposure to 25 volatile organic compounds. EPA's 1987 team study in Los Angeles. California. Toxicology and Industrial Health 7, 203–208.
- Yu, J.C., Yu, J.G., Zhao, J.C., 2002. Enhanced photocatalytic activity of mesoporous and ordinary TiO<sub>2</sub> thin films by sulfuric acid treatment. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 36, 31–43.